UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/551,663	08/16/2006	Lewis Cheng	102907-438-NP	4591		
Patrice A King	7590 06/23/200	EXAMINER				
GOODWIN PR	COCTOR	HADIZONOOZ, BANAFSHEH				
599 Lexington A New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3715			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/23/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary			Application No. Applicant(s)						
			10/551,663		CHENG ET AL.				
		Ī	Examiner		Art Unit				
		I	Banafsheh Hadi:	zonooz	3715				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commui r Reply	nication appea	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum is e to reply within the set or extended period for reply apply received by the Office later than three months digitally patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS CO (a). In no event, how apply and will expire ause the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	J. hely filed the mailing date of this c ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 Sen	ntember 2005						
•	•		ction is non-fin	al.					
—		/ 			secution as to the	e merits is			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election require	ment.					
	on Papers		·						
· · ·	•	o Evaminar							
•	The specification is objected to by the		o: o\⊠ accont	od or b\□ obioo	tad to by the Ever	minor			
-	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2005</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
			• . ,	•	* ,	ED 4 4047 I)			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗌	Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braunberger et al. (US 2003/0077559).

Regarding claims 1-6, Braumnberger discloses an adaptive learning system for presenting appropriate topic and question to the user, said system comprising:

A processor configured to generate and store in a database a set of hierarchical topics (e.g. math) having a plurality of questions associated with each topic, each of the questions having an assigned difficulty level value (See [0012], [0068], figures 1 and 6 and [0028]); determining an adjustable state level for a user based on said user's topic performance consistency; said level having a range of predetermined value (See [0024]-[0025], [0036] and figure 7); assigning a difficulty level ranging between 1-100 (e.g. difficulty between 7-2)(See figure 7); determining a relevant topic for said user from said hierarchical topics by evaluating for relevance (See [0028]-[0030] and randomly selecting a relevant question and evaluate the student's performance and the topic's relevance (See [0031]-[0036]). Braunberger does not specifically disclose showing an adjustable water level as a visual mean for demonstrating user's performance on a specific topic or assigning a threshold range from 0-5. However, the applicant has not

Art Unit: 3715

disclosed if such features solve any stated problem or provide any advantage.

Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the invention to work equally well with any other performance demonstration mean. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Braunberger's invention to incorporate the performance demonstration method as described by the applicant because such modification is considered to be a matter of design choice.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Banafsheh Hadizonooz whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272- 7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 3715

ВН

/XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715